

U.S.S.N. 09/827,053

- 9 -

42710aka

REMARKS

Claims 10-16 and 20 have been indicated as being allowable.

Claims 17, 18, 19, 21 and 22 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112.

Claims 17, 19 and 21 have been cancelled. These claims were dependant claims that recited limitations already included in the dependant claims from which they depended.


Claim 18 has been amended to depend from allowable apparatus claim 13. It had depended from a method claim.

Claim 22 has been amended to depend from allowable method claim 20. It previously depended from apparatus claim 21.

In amending and canceling the claims, the redundancies have been removed and since the claims now depend from allowable claims, claims 17, 18 and 22 are allowable.

Applicant believes that this application is now in condition for formal allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

 10/29/04  
Ernest I. Gifford  
Registration No. 20,644  
Gifford, Krass, Groh, Sprinkle,  
Anderson & Citkowski, P.C.  
280 N. Old Woodward Ave., Suite 400  
Birmingham, MI 48009-5394  
(248) 647-6000

Attorney for Applicant

EIG/aka  
Enclosures